

Research Update:

Koninklijke FrieslandCampina 'BBB/A-2' Rating Affirmed On Successful Deleveraging Below 4x; Outlook Negative

March 19, 2020

Rating Action Overview

- Dairy company Koninklijke FrieslandCampina N.V.'s (Royal FrieslandCampina [RFC]) operating margins rebounded in 2019, thanks to a successful turnaround strategy implemented for its less profitable businesses (consumer dairy and dairy essentials), despite operational headwinds on the specialized nutrition division.
- Therefore, our adjusted debt to EBITDA significantly decreased to 3.9x in 2019 from 4.6x at year-end 2018; however, we expect a ratio between 4x-4.5x over the next 12-24 months because the global environment remains uncertain due to the COVID-19 outbreak, which could disrupt logistics or production throughout 2020.
- Consequently, we are affirming our 'BBB' rating on RFC.
- The negative outlook reflects our view that RFC's operating performance may be hampered by the COVID-19 outbreak weighing on global consumption. Margins may be hurt by cost overruns in logistics, as well as temporary site closures in Europe. There might be a further negative impact on cash flows from greater working capital volatility.

PRIMARY CREDIT ANALYST

Remi Bringuier
Paris
+ 33 14 420 6796
remi.bringuier
@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Maxime Puget
Paris
(33) 1-4075-2577
maxime.puget
@spglobal.com

ADDITIONAL CONTACT

Industrial Ratings Europe
Corporate_Admin_London
@spglobal.com

Rating Action Rationale

The company's deleveraging path will be challenged in 2020 by the COVID-19 outbreak, as profitability will be squeezed about 50 bps after a robust rebound in 2019 of over 100 bps.

Despite positive signs of the group's turnaround strategy implemented throughout 2019, with profitability increasing to 8.6% from 7.5% in 2018, further margin recovery in 2020 is unlikely. We anticipate profitability to be hampered and to decrease by 50 basis points (bps) in 2020, mainly owing to cost overruns due to temporary site closures or some disruption in logistical flows.

Deleveraging trends started in 2019 should stop in 2020 given the challenging global economic environment stemming from the coronavirus crisis, which has quickly spread worldwide. With debt to EBITDA decreasing to 3.9x at end of December 2019, versus 4.6x one year before, we anticipate

the outbreak will challenge recovery in 2020. With the U.S. and EU borders mostly closed until at least the end of April and many European countries on mandated lockdowns, consumption will drop dramatically. However, we believe access to food is a priority for governments and RFC's sales should remain resilient. Hence, the impact on the group should be again limited to a 50-bps margin squeeze. The company's ingredient business will support margins and remain a growth driver in the coming years.

Free cash flow generation will be pressured by upcoming operational headwinds and higher working capital requirements over 2020. Profitability should be squeezed in 2020 and will translate to lower free operating cash flow (FOCF) to debt of less than 5%. FOCF will likely be additionally depressed by the group's working capital outflow because it might need to increase inventories and accept less favorable payment terms. However, protecting its suppliers (farmers) financially is key, since they provide the group's essential raw material (milk) enabling a sufficient level and quality of input to continue production. Also, logistical difficulties might materialize and somewhat disrupt milk inflow in the short term in Europe, leading to higher cost of goods sold (COGS). The company should spend low level of capital expenditure (capex) similar to last year in order to protect cash flow generation resulting from above-mentioned factors.

Despite our revised anticipation of debt to EBITDA above 4x by end of 2020 versus 3.6x a year earlier, the company has demonstrated its ability to deleverage in line with last year's base case. We foresee a higher debt to EBITDA of about 4.15x for 2020, but in spite of operational headwinds in 2019 the company reduced debt to EBITDA in its last fiscal exercise and met our April 2019 base case, with debt to EBITDA slipping below 4x in 2019 at 3.9x. The current situation is a unique and unprecedented event that we could not foresee in our previous forecast back in April 2019, and hence it was not embedded in it. We continue to consider the company's business model and current credit metrics still relevant for our current 'BBB' rating. If the company can continue its turnaround strategy in its consumer dairy and dairy essentials product ranges while maintaining market share and resilience to competitive pressure in specialized nutrition (infant formula) in promising markets such as China, Indonesia, Malaysia, Vietnam, and Thailand, our forecast should materialize. Therefore, credit metrics should strengthen in 2021, with debt to EBITDA decreasing to 3.6x. However, if the company cannot contain competitive pressure or deliver a sufficient level of product innovations, resulting in market share losses, current base-case forecasts and rating could come under pressure.

Outlook

The negative outlook reflects our view that RFC's operating performance might be hampered by the current COVID-19 outbreak, weighing on global consumption volumes and margins, as well as leading to higher working capital volatility. In addition, the negative outlook reflects that competitive pressure on the high-margin specialist nutrition division is expected to continue in Hong Kong and China, while logistical flows might be disrupted. Therefore, we see at least a one-in-three chance that RFC will not be able to maintain an adequate margin and debt to EBITDA commensurate with our rating.

Downside scenario

We could lower the 'BBB' long-term rating if RFC faces decreased consumption globally owing to the impact of COVID-19. Any margin shrinkage exceeding our forecasts could also put pressure on credit metrics and ratings. If RFC cannot maintain its EBITDA margin around 7% and leverage

within the 3.5x-4.5x range by the end of 2020 we could consider lowering the rating.

Upside scenario

We could revise the outlook to stable if RFC's profitability improved in the next 12-24 months following the successful implementation of the group's strategy to turn around less profitable operations. Also, a faster return to more normal consumption patterns after the COVID-19 outbreak could help achieve such a scenario. Moreover, a return to a stable outlook would be subject to debt to EBITDA well anchored in the 3.5x-4.5x range, coupled with solid free cash flow generation.

Company Description

Netherlands-based, RFC is an agricultural cooperative group with revenues of €11.3 billion and reported EBITDA of €976 million. RFC remains the fifth-largest global dairy group, and ranks No. 2 in the global dairy cooperative industry. The group produces and sells consumer milk, milk powder, dairy-based beverages, infant nutrition, cheese, butter, and desserts. RFC operates through four business divisions: consumer dairy, specialized nutrition, ingredients, and dairy essentials. The group's product diversification toward higher-value-added products like infant nutrition formula is largely exposed to Asia (Hong Kong and mainland China), where competitive pressure remains tough. Margin recovery should remain limited in 2020 because the company is expecting very tough conditions in its specialized nutrition, although some profitability improvement should come from the lower-margin divisions of consumer dairy and dairy essentials.

RFC's vertically integrated business model enables it to control and manage volume inflow of raw materials at all times but also push farmers toward more quality milk (Meadow premium) and to maintain what could be considered one of the most exclusive industry quality standards, the "From the Grass to Glass" approach.

Currently, the group is still working on turning around its dairy essentials division, which was originally loss making and focused on allowing the company to process large volumes of milk collected from cooperative members' farms. Moreover, the group's ambitious increase in marketing spending will enable it to gain market share by expanding and strengthening its core brands, such as Frisian Flag, Dutch Lady, or Friso, in the Chinese market and the Asia-Pacific region.

Friesland Campina is fully owned by a cooperative Zuivelcoöperatie FrieslandCampina, with 11,476 member dairy farms (supplying approximately 10.2 billion kilos of milk in 2019) in the Netherlands, Germany, and Belgium, with a total of 17,413 members.

RFC has branch offices in 34 countries and exports dairy products to more than 100 countries worldwide from the Netherlands.

Our Base-Case Scenario

In our base case for 2020, we assume:

- Revenue increase of about 3.4% in 2020, primarily driven by higher pricing in the specialized nutrition segment, while volumes should remain flat. Similarly, we expect price increases in the other divisions, with stable volumes overall. Increased marketing spending should support market share gains, notably in the consumer dairy division, but this would only materialize

toward the end of 2020. Despite the currently volatile macroeconomic environment, we assume that staple goods will be relatively sheltered, as measures taken by authorities around the globe are insuring that people can still access food for their primary needs. We might see an increase in demand for basic goods because some people might develop irrational consumption behaviors, especially for food.

- Adjusted EBITDA margin of 8.1% in 2020, which represent a margin squeeze of about 50bps mainly owing to higher COGS. Given that the company could face some difficulties operating its facilities as COVID-19 rapidly spreads in Europe, we could see restrictive measures that lead some of the group's facilities to temporarily close.
- Capex remaining at about €500 million annually.

Based on these assumptions, we arrive at the following credit measures:

- Adjusted debt to EBITDA of about 4.15x in 2020, and about 3.9x in 2021.
- FOCF to debt of about 2.7% in 2020 and about 5% in 2021.
- EBITDA interest coverage of about 15x-16x in 2020 and 2021.

Liquidity

We assess RFC's liquidity position as adequate under our criteria for agricultural cooperatives. We forecast that liquidity sources to uses will exceed 1.2x over the next 12 months and that sources would exceed uses even if EBITDA declines 20%. RFC has significant headroom under the financial covenants on its senior debt.

We estimate that principal liquidity sources for the 12 months from Dec. 31, 2019, include:

- Cash and cash equivalents of €342 million as of Dec. 31, 2019;
- An undrawn revolving credit facility (RCF) of €1,000 million maturing in more than a year; and
- Our forecast of cash funds from operations of about €552 million for the next 12 months.

For the same period, we estimate that principal liquidity uses include:

- Short-term debt maturities of about €579 million, comprising €275 million of commercial paper drawn at Dec. 31, 2019;
- Maximum seasonal working capital requirements of about €350 million and anticipated annual outflow of €75 million.
- Capex of €420 million for 2020;
- Non-controlling interests payments of about €63 million in 2020
- Cash outflow of €60 million, mainly tied to performance payments on member bonds.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Issuer credit rating: BBB/Negative/A-2

Business risk: Strong

- Country risk: Low

- Industry risk: Intermediate
- Competitive position: Strong

Financial risk: Significant

- Cash flow/leverage: Significant

Anchor: bbb

Modifiers

- Diversification/portfolio effect: Neutral (no impact)
- Capital structure: Neutral (no impact)
- Liquidity: Adequate (no impact)
- Financial policy: Neutral (no impact)
- Management and governance: Satisfactory (no impact)
- Comparable rating analysis: Neutral (no impact)

Stand-alone credit profile: bbb

Related Criteria

- General Criteria: Group Rating Methodology, July 1, 2019
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Corporate Methodology: Ratios And Adjustments, April 1, 2019
- General Criteria: Methodology For Linking Long-Term And Short-Term Ratings, April 7, 2017
- Criteria | Corporates | Industrials: Key Credit Factors For Agricultural Cooperatives, March 17, 2015
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Methodology And Assumptions: Liquidity Descriptors For Global Corporate Issuers, Dec. 16, 2014
- Criteria | Corporates | General: Corporate Methodology, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Industry Risk, Nov. 19, 2013
- General Criteria: Methodology: Management And Governance Credit Factors For Corporate Entities, Nov. 13, 2012
- General Criteria: Use Of CreditWatch And Outlooks, Sept. 14, 2009

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed

Koninklijke FrieslandCampina N.V.

Issuer Credit Rating BBB/Negative/A-2

Analytical Factors

Ratings Affirmed

Local Currency	bbb
----------------	-----

Koninklijke FrieslandCampina N.V.

Commercial Paper	A-2
------------------	-----

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. A description of each of S&P Global Ratings' rating categories is contained in "S&P Global Ratings Definitions" at https://www.standardandpoors.com/en_US/web/guest/article/-/view/sourceId/504352 Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column. Alternatively, call one of the following S&P Global Ratings numbers: Client Support Europe (44) 20-7176-7176; London Press Office (44) 20-7176-3605; Paris (33) 1-4420-6708; Frankfurt (49) 69-33-999-225; Stockholm (46) 8-440-5914; or Moscow 7 (495) 783-4009.

Copyright © 2020 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.